| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 10/754,959 | TIESLER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jonathan Johnson | 1725 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Jonathan Johnson</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Gerald McGlynn</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 February 2006 | Time: <u>10 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | oplicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to include the limitations | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| and d | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl | licant/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |